A New Convergent Route to Conduritols A–F from a Common Chiral Building Block

ORGANIC LETTERS 2001 Vol. 3, No. 11 1769–1772

Kohei Kadota, Miwako Takeuchi, Takahiko Taniguchi, and Kunio Ogasawara*

Pharmaceutical Institute, Tohoku University, Aobayama, Sendai 980-8578, Japan konol@mail.cc.tohoku.ac.jp

Received April 9, 2001





A diastereocontrolled route to conduritols A–F has been developed starting from a common chiral building block containing an oxabicyclo-[3.2.1]octane framework.

We have recently developed an efficient preparation of chiral building block **1**, which contains a bicyclo[3.2.1]octane framework, in both enantiomeric forms from furfural by employing either chemical¹ or enzymatic² procedures. Owing to its molecular bias, **1** exhibits inherent diastereoselectivity, while the masked formyl and 1,2-glycol functionalities in the molecule enforce its versatile applicability. The potential of **1** as a chiral building block has so far been demonstrated by a convergent synthesis of all eight of the aldohexoses^{1,3} as well as the diastereocontrolled syntheses of a variety of natural products.⁴ In this report, we demonstrate an alternative utilization of the chiral building block **1** for the diastereocontrolled construction of all six of the conduritol diastereomers.⁵ Since there is only one precedent⁶ that is

46, 3715. (b) Vogel, P.; Fattori, F.; Gasparini, F.; Le Drian, C. Synlett **1990**, 170. (c) Carless, H. A. J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry **1992**, *3*, 795.

capable of producing all six of the possible conduritols A–F convergently from a common cyclohexenol building block,⁷ the present example constitutes the second convergent route (Scheme 1).

The synthesis began with reduction of enantiopure enone (–)-1 by sodium borohydride–ceriumIII) chloride⁸ to give *endo*-allyl alcohol¹ **3** which was protected as methoxymethyl (MOM) ether **4**, $[\alpha]^{26}_{D}$ +34.8 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃). Dihydroxylation of **4** under catalytic conditions proceeded diastereoselectively from the convex face to give single *exo*-diol **5** which was transformed into tri-MOM ether **6a**, $[\alpha]^{26}_{D}$ +65.4 (*c* 2.0, CHCl₃), serving as the precursor of (+)-conduritol B, (–)-conduritol E, and (–)-conduritol F. Moreover, *endo*alcohol **3** was treated under Mitsunobu conditions⁹ with 4-nitrobenzoic acid¹⁰ to give *exo*-benzoate **7** which furnished diastereoselectively *exo*-diol **8** on catalytic dihydroxylation.^{1,11} On sequential debenzoylation and etherification, **8** afforded tri-MOM ether **6b**, $[\alpha]^{26}_{D}$ +44.8 (*c* 0.9, CHCl₃), serving as the precursor of conduritol D.

(8) Gemal, A. L.; Luche, J.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5454.

(9) Mitsunobu, O. Synthesis 1981, 1.

Takeuchi, M.; Taniguchi, T.; Ogasawara, K. Synthesis 1999, 341.
 Taniguchi, T.; Takeuchi, M.; Kadota, K.; ElAzab, A. S.; Ogasawara, K. Synthesis 1999, 1325.

⁽³⁾ Takeuchi, M.; Taniguchi, T.; Ogasawara, K. Chirality 2000, 12, 338.
(4) (a) (+)-noviose: Takeuchi, M.; Taniguchi, T.; Ogasawara, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 2609. (b) FK-506 segment; Takeuchi, M.; Taniguchi, T.; Ogasawara, K. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 11, 1601.
(c) (-)-Shikimic acid: Takeuchi, M.; Taniguchi, T.; Ogasawara, K. Synthesis 2000, 1375. (d) (-)-Physostigmine: ElAzab, A. S.; Taniguchi, T.; Ogasawara, K. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2757. (e) (+)-Febrifugine: Taniguchi, T.; Ogasawara, K. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 3181. (f) Pseudomonic acid segment: Taniguchi, T.; Ogasawara, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 3359.
(5) For pertinent reviews, see: (a) Balci, M.; Secen, H. Tetrahedron 1990,

⁽⁶⁾ Honzumi, M.; Hiroya, K.; Taniguchi, T.; Ogasawara, K. Chem. Commun. 1999, 1985.

⁽⁷⁾ Ogasawara, K. J. Synth. Org. Chem. Jpn. 1999, 57, 957.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Martin, S. F.; Dodge, J. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 3017.

⁽¹¹⁾ Matsumoto, K.; Ebata, T.; Koseki, K.; Kawakami, H. *Heterocycles* **1991**, *32*, 2225.



On the other hand, enone (–)-1 was first converted to *exo*epoxide 9 which was sequentially reduced to *endo*-alcohol 10 and benzoylated to give *endo*-benzoate 11, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ +17.5 (*c* 1.4, CHCl₃). Upon exposure to boron trifluoride etherate, the benzoate-assisted regio- and diastereoselective epoxide cleavage¹² occurred to form oxonium intermediate 12 giving rise to single triol 13, after alkaline methanolysis of the monobenzoate mixture, which was transformed into tri-MOM ether 6c, $[\alpha]^{27}_{D}$ +15.2 (*c* 0.9, CHCl₃), serving as the precursor of conduritol A and (–)-conduritol C (Scheme 2).



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (i) NaBH₄–CeCl₃, 0 °C (90% for **3**; 75% for **11** from **1**); (ii) MOM-Cl, Hünig base, CH₂Cl₂ (94% for **4**; 95% for **6a**; 64% for **6b** from **3**; 99% for **6c**); (iii) OsO₄ (catalytic), NMO, 50% aqueous THF (95%); (iv) 4-nitrobenzoic acid, PPh₃, DIAD, THF; (v) NH₄OH–MeOH; (vi) 30% H₂O₂, aqueous NaOH–THF; (vii) benzoyl chloride, pyridine (68% from **1**); (viii) BF₃•Et₂O, toluene; (ix) NaOMe, MeOH (90% from **11**).

Having obtained the three intermediates 6a-c with the stereochemistry requisite for the construction of all six of

the conduritol diastereomers, their conversion into the 1,7diene substrates for the key ring-closing olefin metathesis reaction¹³ was next examined. Thus, MOM ethers **6a**–**c** were transformed¹⁴ into the iodides **15a**–**c**, via alcohols **14a**–**c**, which were further transformed into hemiacetals **16a**–**c** on exposure to zinc in methanol in the presence of acetic acid.¹

Of the hemiacetals 16a-c thus obtained, 16a was oxidized¹⁵ to give δ -lactone 17 with α -axial alkoxy functionality. When 17 was refluxed in benzene with 1,4-diazabicyclo-[2.2.2]octane (DABCO),¹ epimerization occurred to give α -equatorial epimer 18 in 44% yield with unchanged 17 in 50% recovery yield, the latter of which was recycled. The former lactone 18 was reduced with diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL) to yield the fourth hemiacetal 16d (Scheme 3).



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (i) H₂, 10% Pd–C, AcOEt (93% for **14a**; 85% for **14b**; 99% for **14c**); (ii) I₂, PPh₃, imidazole, THF (90% for **15a**; 81% for **15b**; 89% for **15c**); (iii) Zn, AcOH–MeOH (1:10), rt (96% for **16a** and **16b**; 98% for **16c**); (iv) TPAP (catalytic), NMO, CH₂Cl₂ (88%); (v) DABCO, benzene, reflux (44% of **18** and 50% recovery of **17**). DIBAL, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C (93%).

⁽¹²⁾ Prystas, M.; Gustafsson, H.; Sorm, F. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1971, 36, 1487.

Transformation of hemiacetals **16a**–**d** thus obtained into the corresponding 1,7-dienes **20a**–**d** was, however, found to be unexpectedly difficult under standard Wittig olefination conditions. Under these conditions, the yield did not exceed 20%. We, therefore, employed a two-step procedure involving the conversion of hemiacetals **16a**–**d** first into 1,7-enyne intermediates **19a**–**d** on treatment with trimethylsilyldiazomethane¹⁶ in the presence of lithium diisopropylamide (LDA). Enynes **19a**–**d** were then hydrogenated over Lindlar catalyst to obtain the desired dienes **20a**–**d** in acceptable overall yields. A ring-closing olefin metathesis reaction of **20a**–**d** under standard conditions using Grubbs' catalyst¹⁷ proceeded without difficulty to yield the corresponding cyclohexenols **21a**–**d** in satisfactory yields (Scheme 4).



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (i) TMSCHN₂, LDA, THF, -78 °C to rt (61% for **19a**; 62% for **19b**; 60% for **19c**; 61% for **19d**); (ii) H₂, 5% Pd-BaSO₄, quinoline (catalytic), AcOEt; (iii) Grubbs' catalyst (10 mol %), benzene, reflux (two steps: 71% for **21a** and **21b**; 72% for **21c**; 69% for **21d**).

Transformation of the four cyclohexenols **21a**–**d** to conduritols was carried out by removal of the MOMprotecting group just by stirring in hydrogen chloridesaturated methanol at room temperature. Under these conditions, the MOM-protecting group was removed by forming volatile acetal byproducts, leaving conduritols virtually in quantitative yield on evaporation under reduced pressure. Thus, (–)-conduritol F, mp 128–129 °C, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –69.4 (*c* 1.0, MeOH) {lit.⁶ mp 129–130 °C, $[\alpha]^{28}_{D}$ –70.2 (*c* 0.15, MeOH)}, from **21a**, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ +55.7 (*c* 0.4, CHCl₃), conduritol D as an oil from **21b**, $[\alpha]^{27}_{D}$ +1.0 (*c* 0.5, CHCl₃), conduritol A, mp 141–142 °C (lit.⁶ mp 141–142 °C) from **21c**, $[\alpha]^{28}_{D}$

(17) Bis(tricyclohexylphosphine)benzylideneruthenium(IV) dichloride was purchased from Strem Chemicals and used without further purification. -9.3 (*c* 0.7, CHCl₃), and (+)-conduritol B, mp 174–175 °C, $[\alpha]^{24}{}_{\rm D}$ +173.0 (*c* 0.3, MeOH) {lit.⁶ mp 174–175 °C, $[\alpha]^{28}{}_{\rm D}$ +153.5 (*c* 0.31, MeOH)}, from **21d**, $[\alpha]^{24}{}_{\rm D}$ +140.0 (*c* 0.9, CHCl₃), were obtained in excellent yields under these conditions, respectively.

The remaining two conduritols could also be obtained from cyclohexenols 21a and 21c by inversion of the stereogenic center of the hydroxy functionality of each compound. Thus, the Mitsunobu reaction of **21a** using 4-nitrobenzoic acid¹⁰ brought about inversion to give the fifth cyclohexenol 21e, $[\alpha]^{27}_{D}$ +109.0 (c 0.9, CHCl₃), via the benzoate **22**, which afforded (–)-conduritol E, mp 191 °C, $[\alpha]^{27}_{D}$ –314.0 (*c* 0.6, H₂O) {lit.⁶ mp 191–192 °C, $[\alpha]^{29}_{D}$ –330.3 (*c* 0.18, H₂O)}, by sequential debenzoylation and de-MOM protection. Meanwhile, the inversion of **21c** was carried out by sequential oxidation and reduction through the enone 23 to give the sixth cyclohexenol **21f**, $[\alpha]^{24}_{D}$ –68.3 (*c* 0.9, CHCl₃), which afforded (–)-conduction C, mp 128–129 °C, $[\alpha]^{24}$ -213.0 (c 0.4, H₂O){lit. for (+)-enantiomer,⁶ mp 129-130 °C, $[\alpha]^{28}_{D}$ +209.1 (c 0.62, H₂O)}, by de-MOM protection (Scheme 5).



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (i) saturated HCl–MeOH (~100%); (ii) 4-nitrobenzoic acid, PPh₃, DIAD, THF; (iii) K₂CO₃, MeOH (81% from **21a**); (iv) PCC, NaOAc, CH₂C₁₂ (94%); (v) DIBAL, CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C (97%).

In conclusion, a new convergent route to all six of the conduritols has been developed, starting from a common chiral building block, on the basis of its inherent diastereo-

⁽¹³⁾ Pertinent reviews, see: (a) Grubbs, R. H.; Chang, S. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 4413. (b) Roy, R.; Das, S. K. *Chem. Commun* **2000**, 519. (c) Fürstner, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 3012.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Garegg, P. J.; Samuelsson, B. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1980, 2866.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Ley, S. V.; Norman, J.; Griffith, W. P.; Marsden, S. P. Synthesis 1994, 639.

^{(16) (}a) Miwa, K.; Aoyama, T.; Shioiri, T. *Synlett* **1994**, 107. (b) Miwa, K.; Aoyama, T.; Shioiri, T. *Synlett* **1994**, 109.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Gigg, R.; Gigg, J. *Synthesis of Glycosylphosphatidylinositol Anchors*; Large, D., Warren, C. D., Eds.; Glycolipids and related compounds; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1997.

selectivity and high functionality. Although we have demonstrated the synthesis of single enantiomeric products, the present procedure constitutes formal production of their alternative enantiomers since the enantiomer of the starting material has also been prepared. Since the biological importance of cyclitols has been well recognized recently,¹⁸ the present convergent method for the construction of all conduritol diastereomers may be useful in the synthesis of a variety of derivatives for biological evaluation.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the Ministry of Science, Culture, and Sports, Japan. OL015963X